Blue sticky traps are more efficient for the monitoring of *Lygus rugulipennis* (Heteroptera, Miridae) than yellow sticky traps
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*Lygus* bugs (Heteroptera, Miridae) are important pests of several crop plants. For the development of an efficient monitoring and forecasting system for *Lygus* densities, low catches of bugs with yellow sticky traps have been a problem. We determined the spectral reflectance of yellow and blue traps and compared their catches of *Lygus* spp. during five consecutive growing seasons in carrot fields, with additional data collected from other crop plants. Blue sticky traps caught significantly more omnivorous *Lygus rugulipennis* (Popp.) than yellow sticky traps in carrot fields. *Lygus kalmi* (L.) that is a typical species in carrot fields, was equally caught by yellow and blue traps. Our results suggest that blue sticky traps are a convenient method for monitoring of *L. rugulipennis* especially during the seedling stage of cultivated carrot plants when sweep netting cannot be used. Factors affecting the efficiency of blue sticky traps to capture omnivorous bugs and thrips are discussed.
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Introduction

In host plant detection by insects visual stimuli play an important role, especially among generalist insects which have host plants with variable morphological characteristics. The physical stimulus perceived by the insect is affected by brightness (intensity of reflected light), hue (dominant wavelength of reflected light) and
Material and methods

Traps

The traps were disposable 190 mm by 290 mm cardboard with sticky upper surface, yellow or blue in colour. The blue sticky traps we used are especially aimed to attract thrips species on Saintpaulia and Frankliniella occidentalis, while the yellow colour is expected to attract all other flying insects. Also the sticky glue of the traps lures certain insects (Catch-it™, Silva miljö AB, Knäred, Sweden, http://www.silvandersson.se).

Traps were formed into a vertically positioned cylinder, fixed in a wooden stick at the level of carrot foliage top, being about 10 cm to 30 cm from soil surface depending on the height of foliage. Blue and yellow traps were placed in a row in alternating order, 10 m from each other. Traps, replaced with new ones at one-week intervals, were collected, covered with transparent plastic film and stored in a cold room before insect identification and counting.

Reflectance of traps

Spectrum of the sun and reflectance of sunlight from the traps were measured with a Macam 9910 spectrophotometer (Macam Photometrics Ltd, Livingston, Scotland) on a sunny morning between 9.30 a.m. and 10.00 a.m. in late April. Trap surfaces were at right-angle to the sun during measurements.

Fields

Research was carried out in one carrot and one grassland field in 1997, six carrot, five swede, five cabbage, three potato, two spinach, and one red beet field in 1998. In 1999 traps were tested in two carrot fields and a cabbage field, and in 2000 in two and in 2001 one carrot field, respectively. The size of the fields varied between 0.5 and 5 ha. Traps were arranged in one row, ex-
cept in two rows per field in 2000 and two rows per plot in 2001. The distance of the traps in row, parallel to the field edge, was 10 meters in each plot. The trap row was placed on the side of field, which was on the potential entering direction of the bugs. Usually traps were on the side that was closest to the forest.

In 1997 two blue and two yellow traps per field per week were used in carrot and one blue and one yellow trap on grassland for four weeks. In 1998 several crop plants were studied using three blue and four yellow traps per field for eight weeks. In 1999 three blue and three yellow traps per week were used in carrot for seven weeks. In 2000 6 blue and 6 yellow traps in two rows were used in both localities (Jokioinen 3.5 ha field and Kokemäki, 0.4 ha field) for nine weeks, and the positions of traps were reversed (yellow vs. blue) each week to reduce any bias due to positional effects. In 2001 a ten week investigation was conducted on a 3.6 ha carrot field in Jokioinen with four replicate plots each having two yellow and two blue traps in 10 m distances from each other.

Trap catches of *Lygus* bugs from blue and yellow traps from 1997 to 2000 were analysed using weekly catch of each trap as a true replicate. Non parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U) were used for comparisons, since data were not normally distributed because of small number of observations. Data from replicated plot experiment in 2001 were analysed with t-test using mean plot catches. SPSS for Windows statistical package was used for analyses.

**Results**

Reflectances from yellow and blue traps were 25% and 12%, respectively, of the irradiation from the sun (Fig. 1) in the integrated wavelengths 280–700 nm (UV-radiation and photosynthetically active visible light (PAR)). Blue traps reflected from blue to red light the peak being at blue (460 nm). Yellow traps had a greater overall reflectance than blue traps reflecting mainly wavelengths from yellowish green (550 nm) to red (750 nm) the peak being at 665 nm. Reflectance in the UV-radiation area (< 400 nm) was very low in both trap types.

The dominant *Lygus* species in trap catches was *L. rugulipennis* (Popp.) in most crops. *L. kalmi* (L.), which is a specialist feeding on Apiaceae (Linnavuori 1966), was found in carrot fields in 1999 and 2000. In 1997, in the carrot field, weekly mean catch of *L. rugulipennis* in blue traps $3.75 \pm 1.13$ (SE) was significantly ($n = 8$, Mann-Whitney U = 1, $P < 0.001$) higher than in yellow traps $0.13 \pm 0.12$. The accumulated total catch in blue traps during the monitoring period was 29-times compared to yellow traps (Fig. 2a). In the grassland fields, in 1997, weekly mean catch of *L. rugulipennis* in blue traps $3.25 \pm 2.00$ was only marginally ($n = 4$, $U = 2.5$, $P = 0.089$) higher than in yellow traps $0.25 \pm 0.30$ individuals. In 1998, the total catch of *L. rugulipennis* (and possibly the density also) in different field crops was so random and low that statistical significant differences were not found (Table 1).

In 1999, blue traps in carrot caught weekly $2.29 \pm 0.71$ individuals. The catch was significantly ($n = 48$, $U = 848$, $P = 0.004$) higher than
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The mean catch of *L. rugulipennis* in blue traps (0.85 ± 0.40) was not significantly (n = 48, U = 1075, P = 0.503) higher than in yellow traps (0.44 ± 0.13). However, during peak density on June 26 significantly (n = 6, U = 6, P = 0.049) more *L. rugulipennis* adults were caught in blue (3.00 ± 0.82) than in yellow (1.00 ± 0.37) traps (Fig. 3a). In 2001, in the replicated plot experiment mean plot catches of blue traps were significantly higher (P < 0.05, t-test) than that of yellow traps on May 22 and June 5 and 12 (Fig. 4). When log-transformed numbers were used in the analyses, *L. rugulipennis* catches were significantly higher in blue traps also on May 22.

In carrot fields, in 1999, after the flying period of *L. rugulipennis*, during three weeks in July, *L. kalmi* was caught in traps. Numbers in yellow traps (n = 9, 6.4 ± 2.8) did not differ (U = 33.5, P = 0.531) from catch in blue traps (6.0 ± 4.8). In 2000, *L. kalmi* also appeared in carrot fields in Jokioinen. The first observations were earlier than in previous year (Fig. 3b). Again the mean catch between blue (0.31 ± 0.13) and yellow (0.58 ± 0.17) traps did not differ (n = 48, U = 1037, P = 0.254). In 2001 only very few *L. kalmi* individuals appeared in the traps not allowing any statistical analyses.

---

**Table 1.** Weekly numbers of *Lygus rugulipennis* per trap in yellow and blue traps (n is same for both colors) in different field crops (21 fields) in 1998.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Yellow Mean(SE)</th>
<th>Blue Mean(SE)</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>0.32 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.12)</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>0.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>0.13 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.05)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>0.61 (0.19)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.21)</td>
<td>160.5</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>0.22 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.05)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swede</td>
<td>0.13 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.03)</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red beet</td>
<td>0.17 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.07)</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Mean weekly catch (± SE) of *L. rugulipennis* in carrot fields in Jokioinen in 1997 (n = 2) (a) and 1999 (n = 3) (b).
In the years when *L. rugulipennis* population densities are high enough to cause extensive damage to cultivated plants, yellow traps do not indicate bug densities efficiently (Holopainen and Rikala 1990). Our replicated plot experiment in 2001 with reasonable high *L. rugulipennis* density confirmed the preliminary trap-based observations in the years from 1997 to 2000 that blue sticky traps caught significantly more *L. rugulipennis* than yellow traps. Therefore, blue sticky traps are suitable for monitoring of *L. rugulipennis*. However, *L. kalmi* was collected in equal numbers in yellow as in blue traps. This suggests that different Lygus species have a different visual response in orientation to host plants. A North American species, *L. lineolaris* has been observed to prefer yellow sticky traps to bright blue traps (Prokopy et al. 1979) and yellow water-pans traps to red and green traps (Landis and Fox 1972).

The variation of colour detection among insect families is poorly known, but e.g. some Heteroptera species do not perceive orange colours (Hénaut et al. 1999). Blue sticky traps have been observed to be efficient in the monitoring of some thrips species in greenhouses (Gillespie and Vernon 1990). In natural ecosystems Thysanoptera is the only insect order that is more efficiently caught by blue than yellow traps (Ho-
Hoback et al. (1999) demonstrated that attractiveness of blue and yellow traps could be variable inside one insect genus as in *Frankliniella* spp. thrips. Our reflectance analysis demonstrated that blue traps are more efficiently reflecting short blue light waves (400–500 nm) than yellow traps, which are more efficiently reflecting in the green area (500–560 nm). This might be one explanation for the higher catch of blue traps for *L. rugulipennis*, since this species is not an absolute herbivore. The omnivorous diet could include insect eggs and larvae (Varis 1972), and possibly green colour of the plant foliage is not as attractive to this species as to other species in the genus *Lygus*. It is interesting to note, that *Frankliniella occidentalis* is also an omnivore (Agrawal et al. 1999) and is also trapped in greater numbers on blue traps than on yellow (Gillespie and Vernon 1990).

Colour preference of *Lygus* bugs might be different also in different crop types. Prokopy et al. (1979) found that for *L. lineolaris* that damage flowers in apple trees, bright blue sticky traps were not as attractive as non-ultraviolet-light reflecting white, yellow or plexiglas rectangles, hung vertically at about 0.7 m above ground. We did not find yellow traps to catch *L. rugulipennis* more efficiently than blue traps in any of the studied fields.

Blue traps are probably most effective for *Lygus* monitoring on crops where they damage plants on seedling stage, and the foliage of young plants do not shade the soil surface e.g. carrot, conifer seedlings, transplanted cabbage seedlings. Kostal and Finch (1996) found that the cabbage root fly *Delia radicum* (L.), avoided landing on blue traps when background was green, while brown background resulted in the relative increase of catches in the non-preferred violet and blue traps.

Prokopy and Owens (1978) and Prokopy et al. (1979) found that white sticky traps were more efficient than yellow sticky traps to collect *L. lineolaris*. Therefore efficiency of white sticky traps to collect *L. rugulipennis* should be tested and compared to blue sticky traps. However, at the moment blue traps are significantly more efficient than yellow traps for *L. rugulipennis* monitoring.

Since *L. rugulipennis* frequently damage the apical meristem in the production of vegetable seedlings during spring in greenhouses, in addition to the thrips monitoring, the use of blue traps for timing of chemical control of *Lygus* bugs is recommendable. The blue sticky traps seem also to be suitable monitoring tool for the forecast system of *L. rugulipennis* that is under development in MTT Agrifood Research Finland. Vegetable growers make observations and countings of bug densities in their fields and send the information by the short message service of their mobile phones to the central database of MTT. As a feedback the growers receive on the display screen of they mobile phone the latest forecast of bug densities in their area.
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