Composition, digestibility and nutritive value of cereals for dogs
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Most dry dog foods are based on cereals, but very little published information and few comparative studies are available on the nutritive value of various cereals in dogs. To determine the apparent nutrient digestibilities and feed values of five different autoclave-processed and ground cereals: oat groats, barley, wheat, corn and rice, a digestibility trial was carried out on twelve adult huskies according to a $6 \times 4$ cyclic changeover design. Total tract organic matter (OM), crude carbohydrate and gross energy (GE) digestibilities were higher in rice than in all the other cereals. Apparent crude protein (CP) and acid hydrolyzed fat digestibilities of rice (80% and 94%, respectively) were as good as for oat groats (81% and 93%). However, oat groats had higher OM, CP and GE digestibilities than barley, wheat and corn. The amount of digestible crude protein (118 g kg$^{-1}$ DM) was higher in oat groats than in the other cereals. Digestible energy contents (MJ kg$^{-1}$ DM) of oat groats, rice, corn, wheat and barley were 17.1, 16.0, 15.7, 15.6 and 15.5, respectively. The quantity of excreted wet faeces increased and the percentage of dry matter (DM) in faeces decreased when oat groats, barley, wheat or corn were supplemented to the basal diet, in contrast to rice, which had the opposite effect on wet faeces excretion. Oat groats are good substitutes for rice or other cereals in dry dog foods.
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Introduction

Several different cereals, such as rice, corn, wheat, barley and oats, are used in the nutrition of dogs as they are a good and inexpensive source of energy and necessary in proper industrial processing of dog foods. In most dry foods the proportion of carbohydrates is usually 30–60%. As carbohydrates are often the main source of energy in dog foods, the basic information of the nutritive value of various cereals is becoming more important for the precise design and optimising of commercial and experimental dog diets.
Processing improves the total tract nutrient digestibility of cereals, and the digestibility values of starch and nitrogen-free extract in cooked cereals are between 89% and 99% (Moore et al. 1980, Meyer et al. 1981, Schünemann et al. 1989, Gröner and Pfeffer 1997). Moreover, dehulling significantly improves the nutritional quality of rice, oats and barley, which are harvested with the hulls attached, compared to other cereals, which lose their hulls during the threshing step and are handled as naked grains. The high crude fibre and cellulose contents of hulls have negative effects on the dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), energy and mineral digestibilities of cereals in dogs (Fahey et al. 1992, Lewis et al. 1994, Kienzle et al. 2001). The crude fibre content of oats (100–150 g kg\(^{-1}\) DM) is twice as high as in barley, wheat and corn, and so the apparent nutrient digestibilities of oats are, in most cases, lower than of other cereals (Moore et al. 1980, Walker et al. 1994). On the other hand, dietary fibres have traditionally been used in specific diets planned for the treatment of obesity or diabetes (Blaxter et al. 1990, Nelson 1992).

Today the use of oats in dog foods is marginal, although oats have a positive image and several oats-related health claims have been made in the field of human nutrition. It has also been shown that after the dehulling process, oat groats are superior to other cereals in their nutritional content (Gröner and Pfeffer 1997). Their protein content is much higher than that found in other cereals. In addition, oats are unique among cereals in having a quite good essential amino acid balance from the nutritional standpoint (Hoseney 1986). The proteins of rice and oats share similar qualities, as 10% of their proteins in the kernel are prolamins. Cooking of oats reduces the solubility of prolams up to 90% (Sontag-Strohm et al. 2001). Wheat and barley contain five times more prolams than rice or oats. Wheat prolamins have been reported to induce gluten enteropathy in dogs (Batt et al. 1987) and, therefore, the use of wheat and barley in the nutrition of dogs has partly been replaced by rice and corn. Gluten enteropathy in dogs is similar in many respects to celiac disease in humans (Batt et al. 1984). Rice, corn and potato are all gluten-free raw materials and, thus, suitable for hypoallergenic diets of dogs (Mayer and Zentek 1998). Recent studies in humans have shown that also oats are low gluten and suitable for diets of adults with celiac disease (Janatuinen et al. 1995, 1996). This may open new opportunities for oats in the diets of gluten-sensitive dogs.

The objective of the present study was to determine the nutrient digestibilities and energy value of oat groats and compare the values with those of other cereals commonly used in the nutrition of dogs. The effect of cereals on faecal characteristics and animal health was also investigated.

Material and methods

Animals and experimental procedure

A total tract digestibility trial was conducted with twelve sled dogs, ten of which were Alaskan huskies and two Siberian huskies. The dogs were obtained from a professional racing kennel (Taminax, Leppälähti, Finland). Their ages ranged from 1.5 to 8 years, and the average live weight of the six males and six females was 21.2 ± 3.1 kg. The dogs were housed at MTT’s outdoor kennel and trained regularly five times a week. At the beginning of December when the experiment began, the energy requirement of the sled dogs was at quite a high level due to training, approximately 1.75 times (7.0–8.7 MJ ME d\(^{-1}\)) their assumed maintenance energy requirement. However, there was one exception, a lazy Siberian husky which had a very low energy requirement (1.1 × maintenance energy requirement). All dogs received regular vaccinations and were treated for endoparasites. They remained healthy over the duration of the study, according to routine physical examinations, blood chemistries and blood cell counts. The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Experiment...
Committee of the MTT Agrifood Research Finland.

The experimental design was a $6 \times 4$ cyclic changeover (Davis and Hall 1969). The dogs were allocated on the basis of their energy requirement into two experimental blocks. Within the block the dogs were randomly allocated to six experimental treatments (A-F) each dog having four different treatments during the experiment. Oat groats, barley, wheat, corn and rice were the cereal sources in the treatments. The cereals were processed according to feed factory’s (Raisio Feed Ltd) normal procedure in autoclave (140°C, 3 bar, 30 min) and ground through a 2 mm sieve. The proportion of cereals in the experimental diets was 30%, as a 40% cereal supplementation induced diarrhoea in sled dogs during training. The digestibility of each cereal source was calculated by difference, because their unsuitability as the sole item in the diet. Commercial extruded dog food (Baron® Exel, Rehuraisio Oy, Finland) was used as the basal diet. The ingredients of the dry dog food were corn (20.0%), animal fats (17.7%), meat meal (14.3%), corn gluten (12.0%), meat and bone meal (11.4%), poultry meal (10.0%), fish meal (5.7%), vegetable oils (2.9%), aroma mixture (2.9%), glucose (1.1%), vitamin and mineral mixture (1.0%), NaCl (0.6%) and lecithin (0.4%). Table 1 shows the composition of the experimental diets.

The dogs were kept in metabolism cages through the quantitative faeces collection period. Over the four experimental periods of fourteen days each, there was preliminary feeding for six days followed by three days of collection. The dogs were put into the metabolic cages during the last adjustment day. Total faeces was collected daily between 0800 and 2100 and stored at –18°C until analysed at the end of the experiment. The transition period between experimental periods was five days.

The food allowance was kept constant for the whole nine-day preliminary feeding and collection period. Dogs were fed twice a day (900–1000 and 1500–1600). The daily food portion was 500–580 g, which was mixed with warm water 20 minutes before feeding. As the training intensity of the sled dogs diminished at the start of the racing season, their energy need decreased as well. At the beginning of each period, the feeding level was adjusted to maintain the dogs’ ideal body weight, determined subjectively by the author. The body weights were recorded at the beginning and end of each feeding period. The dogs had *ad libitum* access to water.

Table 1. Calculated chemical composition of the diets, g kg⁻¹ dry matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry food¹ basal diet</th>
<th>Oat groats diet</th>
<th>Barley diet</th>
<th>Wheat diet</th>
<th>Corn diet</th>
<th>Rice diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>886.6</td>
<td>912.9</td>
<td>912.8</td>
<td>914.3</td>
<td>914.8</td>
<td>912.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein</td>
<td>369.1</td>
<td>304.4</td>
<td>299.7</td>
<td>302.0</td>
<td>290.1</td>
<td>296.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid hydrolysed fat</td>
<td>247.5</td>
<td>199.3</td>
<td>183.8</td>
<td>182.9</td>
<td>192.5</td>
<td>182.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-free extract</td>
<td>256.3</td>
<td>390.0</td>
<td>407.0</td>
<td>411.9</td>
<td>415.3</td>
<td>422.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude carbohydrates</td>
<td>270.1</td>
<td>409.1</td>
<td>429.3</td>
<td>429.3</td>
<td>432.3</td>
<td>434.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>209.8</td>
<td>322.1</td>
<td>321.4</td>
<td>338.4</td>
<td>356.1</td>
<td>389.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-glucan</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fibre</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanganate lignin</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Calculated mineral composition of the dry food was (kg⁻¹ DM): Ca 29 g, P 16 g, Mg 4 g, Na 5 g, NaCl 13 g, Cu 15.8 mg and Se 0.2 mg. Vitamin content of the dry food was: vitamin A 10 000 IU, vitamin D₃ 1 000 and vitamin E 100 IU.
Faecal samples were dried at 100°C for one hour and then at 60°C for 72 hours. Samples were ground through a 1 mm sieve before analysis. The dry matter content of the samples was determined by drying at 105°C for 16 hours. Ether extract was determined after acid hydrolysis with 4 N HCl. The contents of ash, acid hydrolysed fat and crude fibre content were determined by standard methods (AOAC 1990). Nitrogen (N) was determined from fresh samples using the Kjeldahl-technique and crude protein was obtained by multiplying the N content by 6.25. Nitrogen free extract (NFE) and crude carbohydrates were obtained by difference: 1000-(ash-crude protein-acid hydrolyzed fat-crude fibre) and 1000-(ash-crude protein-fat), respectively. Acid detergent fibre (ADF) and permanganate lignin were determined by the method of Robertson and Van Soest (1981). Total dietary fibre of the feeds was determined by a modified method of Lee et al. (1992). Starch concentration of the feeds was determined according to McCleary et al. (1994) and β-glucans according to McCleary and Codd (1991). Gross energy of the samples was measured with an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (IKA C 400 Kalorimeter, Janke & Kunkel GmbH, Staufen, Germany). Faecal consistency was evaluated subjectively according to Sunvold et al. (1995).

Blood samples were collected at the end of each feeding period for glucose and insulin analysis. The first blood samples (T0) were taken after a 17 hours’ fast and before morning feeding. Postprandial blood samples were taken 0.5 (T1), 1 (T2), 1.5 (T3), 2 (T4), 4 (T5) and 6 (T6) hours after feeding. Blood samples for glucose measurements were taken from the cephalic vein into vacuum tubes containing EDTA and sodium fluoride, and for insulin measurements into serum tubes with a coagulation activator. Serum was separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C until analysis. Serum concentration of glucose was analysed with a standard method (Insulin 125I RIA Kit, Incstar Corporation, Stillwater, USA) with an automatic analyser (1270 Rackgamma II, Wallac, Turku).

Equations and statistical analysis

The apparent total tract digestibility coefficients of the nutrients in the experimental diets were calculated by an equation (Schneider and Flatt 1975): digestibility coefficient of the nutrient = (nutrient intake, g – nutrient in faeces, g) / nutrient intake, g. As cereals can’t be fed alone for sled dogs in training, the digestibility coefficients of the cereals were estimated indirectly by feeding them with balanced dry food of known digestibility and deducting the estimated effect of the cereals in the calculations (Schneider and Flatt 1975): digestibility coefficient of the nutrient = [nutrient intake from the cereal, g – (the total amount of nutrient in faeces, g – the amount of nutrient in faeces coming from the basal diet, g)] / nutrient intake from the cereal, g.

Statistical analyses were carried out using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS 1998). The digestibility data were analysed by the following model: Yijkl = µ + B_i + A_(B_i)j + P_k + D_l + e_ijkl, where µ is the overall mean, B_i is the fixed effect of the ith block (i = 1, 2), A_(B_i)j are the random effect of the jth animal within block (j = 1,…, 6), P_k are the fixed effect of the kth period (k = 1,…, 4) and D_l are the fixed effect of the lth dietary treatment (l = 1,…, 5), respectively, and e_ijkl are the normally distributed residuals with a mean of 0 and variance of σ².

The data obtained from blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations were analysed with the MIXED procedure of SAS for repeated measures using the model: Y_ijkl_m = µ + B_i + A_(B_i)j + P_k + D_l + T_m + (T × P)_km + (T × D)_lm + (T × B)_in + (T × A)_ijk + (P × A)_ijk + e_ijkl_m, where T_m is time effect and T × P, T × D and T × B are time by period, time by dietary treatment and time by block interactions, respectively. Serum insulin concentration was analysed by a standard method (Insulin 125I RIA Kit, Incstar Corporation, Stillwater, USA) with an automatic analyser (1270 Rackgamma II, Wallac, Turku).
A high proportion of the amylose in oats, about 26% compared to 13–20% for wheat and barley, is lipid-complexed due to the relatively high lipid content in oat starch (Åman and Fredriksson 2001). The lipid content of oats varies quite widely, and values as low as 3% and as high as 12% have been reported (Hoseney 1986). Most Finnish oats varieties have a fat content of 5–10% DM (Kempe et al. 2001). Oats also contain a lot of unsaturated acids and essential fatty acids like linoleic acid (McMullen 1991).

Cellulose is the major component in the hulls, and so those cereals that are used with their hulls intact contain more cellulose. Hulls represent about 25% of the total weight of oats (Hoseney 1986). The dehulling process lowered the crude fibre and ADF contents of oats considerably, but their contents were still higher than in the other cereals. The contents of cell wall as well as soluble fibre constituents were low in rice. The subaleurone layer in oat bran and cell walls in barley were rich in β-glucan (~4% DM), whereas wheat, corn and rice contained less than 1% DM of β-glucan. It seems that β-glucan is an easily fermentable energy source for canine ileal microflora, as barley and oats resulted in high total short-chain fatty acid, butyrate and lactate concentrations in a recent study by Bednar et al.
(2001). β-glucan has also aroused great interest in human nutrition studies lately, since it has been found to be able to reduce the blood glucose and insulin responses and serum cholesterol values after meals (Anderson et al. 1984, Klopfenstein 1988, Davidson et al. 1991).

**Digestibility of the diets**

The total tract nutrient digestibilities of the diets calculated by difference are shown in Table 3. Altogether four observations from the diets supplemented with oat groats, barely, corn and rice were removed due to low intake. After removal of the deviating results the nutrient digestibility data were normally distributed. The apparent nutrient digestibilities of the diets were in most cases lower in the first feeding period than in the latter periods. This may be due to a higher feeding level in the first feeding period. The energy need of the sled dogs decreased slightly during the experiment and their food intake was adjusted accordingly.

The apparent digestibilities of OM (P < 0.01), crude carbohydrates (P < 0.001) and gross energy (GE) (P < 0.01) were higher in the rice diet than in the oat groats and other diets. The oat groats diet had higher digestibility of OM (P < 0.05), crude protein (P < 0.01) and GE (P < 0.05) when compared with the wheat, barley or corn diets. The crude protein digestibilities of the oat groats and rice diets were approximately the same, 81% and 80%, respectively. Crude protein content of the diets seemed to be positively correlated on protein digestibility as in the study of Kendall and Holme (1982). Acid hydrolyzed fat digestibility was high in all diets. However, the apparent fat digestibility decreased (P < 0.05) when cereals, except for rice, were supplement-

### Table 3. Apparent total tract digestibilities (%) and nutritive value of the diets; estimated least square means, standard error of mean (SEM) and statistical significance (P).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basal diet</th>
<th>Oat groats diet</th>
<th>Barley diet</th>
<th>Wheat diet</th>
<th>Corn diet</th>
<th>Rice diet</th>
<th>SEM₁</th>
<th>SEM₂</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P ≤</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observations</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry matter</strong></td>
<td>76.5ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>79.3ᵇ</td>
<td>76.8ᵃ</td>
<td>77.1ᵃ</td>
<td>74.8ᵇ</td>
<td>81.7ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organic matter</strong></td>
<td>85.1ᵃ</td>
<td>85.3ᵇ</td>
<td>82.8ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>83.5ᵇ</td>
<td>81.4ᵇ</td>
<td>87.9ᵇ</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ash</strong></td>
<td>9.9ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>15.9ᵇ</td>
<td>14.4ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>9.5ᵇ</td>
<td>2.7ᵇ</td>
<td>17.5ᵇ</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crude protein</strong></td>
<td>81.3ᵃ</td>
<td>80.8ᵇ</td>
<td>77.4ᵇ</td>
<td>77.7ᵇ</td>
<td>74.5ᵇ</td>
<td>79.7ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acid hydrolysed fat</strong></td>
<td>94.5ᵃ</td>
<td>93.2ᵇ</td>
<td>93.1ᵇ</td>
<td>92.2ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>92.0ᵇ</td>
<td>94.2ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crude carbohydrates</strong></td>
<td>81.6ᵇ</td>
<td>84.8ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>82.3ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>83.8ᵇ</td>
<td>81.3ᵇ</td>
<td>90.8ᵇ</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross energy</strong></td>
<td>86.5ᵇ</td>
<td>85.9ᵇ</td>
<td>83.6ᵇ</td>
<td>84.1ᵇ</td>
<td>82.3ᵇ</td>
<td>88.1ᵇ</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feed values</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible energy, MJ kg⁻¹ DM</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible crude protein, g kg⁻¹ DM</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM₁ is for least square-means of basal and wheat diets.
SEM₂ is for least square-means of oat groats, barley, corn, and rice diets.

ᵃᵇᶜᵈ Means with the same row followed by the same letters do not differ significantly (P ≥ 0.05).
ed to the basal diet. This can be explained in part by lower fat intake of around 4.1–4.5 g kg⁻¹ body weight in the cereal supplemented diets compared to fat intake of 5.9 g in the basal diet as the digestibility of fat starts to decrease in the function of intake. Digestibility of fat in the oat groats diet (93%) was higher (P < 0.05) than in the corn diet (92%). Apparent crude carbohydrate digestibility increased (P < 0.05) when rice, oat groats or wheat were supplemented in the basal diet. The digestibility of crude carbohydrates was highest in the rice diet, on average 91%. The oat groats and wheat diets had almost equal crude carbohydrate digestibilities: 85% and 84%, respectively. The digestibility of crude carbohydrates was higher in the oat groats diet than in the barley (P < 0.05) or corn (P < 0.01) diets. High crude fibre and ADF content of barley diet probably induced decreased apparent digestibility of crude carbohydrates. Indigestible crude fibre and ADF contents of the diets had no clear effect on the apparent digestibility of OM, fat and protein even if the ADF content ranged from 22% in barley diet to 10% in rice diet. However, high crude fibre and ADF content may have little decreased the apparent digestibility of energy.

**Effect of cereal supplementation on faecal characteristics**

Wet weight, faecal dry weight, faecal DM and faecal score data are presented in Table 4. The quantity of excreted wet faeces increased when barley, wheat or corn was supplemented in the basal diet (P < 0.01), in contrast to rice, which had an opposite effect on wet faeces excretion. Faecal wet weights for dogs fed barley, wheat or corn diets were approximately 17% higher than the average faecal wet weights of dogs consuming the basal or oat groats diets, and 31% higher when compared with the rice diet. Dogs fed the corn, barley and basal diets had approximately 24% (P < 0.001) and 13% (P < 0.05) greater faecal dry weights than dogs on the rice and oat groats diets, respectively, reflecting the lower digestibilities of DM and OM. The percentage of DM in faeces decreased from 37% in dogs fed the basal diet to approximately 30% in dogs fed oat groats, barley, wheat or corn (P < 0.001). The percentage of DM in faeces was 34% in rice diet. Consumption of the barley and oat groats diets resulted in higher faecal scores compared with the basal diet (P < 0.05), indicating looser stools. High water-holding capacity of the soluble fibre fraction (mainly β-glucan) found in barley and oat groats accounts for the decreased faecal DM as well as the higher faecal scores. Although the dogs produced mainly faeces of optimal scores when consuming these diets, an occasional ranking of 4 (soft, pudding-like, and uniform) and 5 (watery, liquid) was recorded. This may indicate an excessive amount of cereals (total of 50%) in the diets of sled dogs as well as a slight stress in the dogs, induced by the collection period and blood sampling.

Murray et al. (1999) reported that barley-based diets increase the quantity of excreted faeces by 32% compared with corn, potato, rice, sorghum and wheat diets. The fibre components of barley have a high water-holding capacity, which accounts for the increased faecal amount excreted. An excess amount of barley (> 50%) in the diet of dogs decreased faecal DM and may induce loose stools or diarrhoea (Murray et al. 1999).

**Digestibility and feed values of the cereals**

The total tract nutrient digestibilities of the cereals, calculated by difference, are shown in Table 5. The total tract organic matter digestibility was higher in rice than in the other cereals (P < 0.01). Corn had the lowest OM digestibility. Oat groats had a higher OM digestibility than wheat or barley (P < 0.05), which did not differ significantly in OM digestibility. The organic matter digestibilities of the autoclaved cereals used in this study were lower than the values reported by Gröner and Pfeffer (1997) for extruded cere-
In their trials, the apparent OM digestibilities of oat groats, dehulled barley, wheat, corn and rice were 93%, 92%, 86%, 88% and 98%, respectively.

Apparent crude protein digestibility was better and the amount of digestible crude protein was higher in oat groats than in wheat, barley or corn (P < 0.01). Only the CP digestibility of rice was as good as for oat groats presumably because of its very low crude fibre content. However, the amount of digestible crude protein and the quality of protein were lower in rice than in oat groats. An additive adverse effect of high fibre and starch content on faecal protein digestibility found in the study of Kienzle et al. (2001) may also explain CP digestibility of barley in our experiment. Corn had the lowest crude protein content and digestibility. Hoseney (1986) suggested that bonds between protein and starch components of corn are quite strong and this structural difference may reduce digestibility. The crude protein digestibilities of the cereals were in the range of values reported by Moore et al. (1980) for extruded oats, rice and corn. However, the cereal CP digestibilities were higher than in the study of Gröner and Pfeffer (1997), except for wheat. In their trials, the apparent protein digestibilities of oat groats, dehulled barley, wheat, corn and rice were 75%, 71%, 83%, 71% and 71%, respectively. Murray et al. (1999) obtained lowest ileal digestibility values of CP for corn (73%) and similar for barley (81%), wheat (82%) and rice (76%). Their total tract digestibility values were higher than ileal values, 87%, 83%, 85% and 85%, respectively. Kendall and Holme (1982) obtained fairly high CP digestibility values for raw barley and wheat meals, 73–82% and 81%, respectively, as well as for flaked corn, which had a CP digestibility of 78%.

The acid hydrolyzed fat digestibilities of the cereals were high (92–94%). Rice, oat groats and barley had similar fat digestibilities. Oat groats and rice had a higher fat digestibility than corn (P < 0.05), which is commonly used as a high-fat cereal component in dog foods but had the lowest fat digestibility in our study. This result is inconsistent with a recent study by Gröner and Pfeffer (1997), in which the fat digestibility of corn (98%) was higher than of dehulled oats (92%). In the study of Murray et al. (1999) ileal and total tract fat digestibilities of barley, corn, rice and wheat were high 90–94% and 92–94%, respectively and comparable to our results. Kendall and Holme (1982) obtained fairly low fat digestibility values for raw oat, barley and wheat meals, namely 78%, 81–85% and 48%, respectively, as well as for corn, which had a negative fat digestibility value.

The dogs digested the cereals’ crude carbohydrates well, with digestibility values ranging from 81% for corn to 90% for rice, which had highest value (P < 0.001). Corn is known to contain starch which is less digestible because of a
strong starch-protein matrix (Hoseney 1986, Murray et al. 1999). The crude carbohydrate digestibility of oat groats was comparable with the values of wheat and better than those of barley (P < 0.05) or corn (P < 0.01). High crude fibre, ADF and TDF content may have reduced the digestibility of crude carbohydrates and protein of barley. The apparent digestibility values of crude carbohydrates are usually lower than those of starch and nitrogen-free extract, which range from 89% to 99% in the cooked cereals (Moore et al. 1980, Meyer et al. 1981, Schünemann et al. 1989, Gröner and Pfeffer 1997).

Rice and oat groats were well digested by the dogs, with apparent gross energy digestibilities of 88% and 86%, respectively. Animals fed rice exhibited better GE digestion than did those fed other cereals (P < 0.01). There was a significant difference between oat groats and the cereals wheat, barley and corn (P < 0.05), with the GE of oat groats being more digestible. For the remaining three cereals, GE digestibility was highest for wheat, intermediate for barley and lowest for corn.

The digestible energy (DE) content (MJ kg⁻¹ DM) was highest in oat groats. The DE values for the various cereals were: 17.1 for oat groats, 16.0 for rice, 15.7 for corn, 15.6 for wheat and 15.5 for barley. The differences in DE contents are due to differences in the fat content and total tract nutrient digestibilities between cereals. Digestible energy contents of oat groats, wheat and corn were within the values reported by Gröner and Pfeffer (1997) for extruded cereals. However, the DE contents (MJ kg⁻¹ DM) of dehulled barley (16.4) and rice (17.1) were higher in their study. Kendall and Holme (1982) obtained slightly lower DE values compared to our study for raw oat, barley and wheat meals: 13.7, 14.7–15.2 and 15.3, respectively. Flaked corn, though, had a higher GE value (16.8 MJ kg⁻¹ DM) than corn meal in our study.

### Effect of the diet on blood glucose and serum insulin responses

The effect of the diet on blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations is presented in Figure 1. The pre-prandial blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations in the dogs were on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Oat groats</th>
<th>Barley</th>
<th>Wheat</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Rice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>85.3c</td>
<td>82.8bc</td>
<td>83.5b</td>
<td>81.4b</td>
<td>87.8d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>80.9a</td>
<td>77.4b</td>
<td>77.6b</td>
<td>74.4b</td>
<td>79.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid hydrolysed fat</td>
<td>93.2ab</td>
<td>93.0bc</td>
<td>92.2bc</td>
<td>92.0b</td>
<td>94.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude carbohydrates</td>
<td>84.8a</td>
<td>82.2bc</td>
<td>83.8ab</td>
<td>81.2a</td>
<td>90.8d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy</td>
<td>85.9c</td>
<td>83.6bc</td>
<td>84.1a</td>
<td>82.3b</td>
<td>88.1d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Feed values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross energy, MJ kg⁻¹ DM</th>
<th>19.85</th>
<th>18.51</th>
<th>18.52</th>
<th>19.02</th>
<th>18.12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digestible energy, MJ kg⁻¹ DM</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible crude protein, g kg⁻¹ DM</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM₁ is for least square means of oat groats, barley, corn and rice.
SEM₂ is for least square mean of wheat.

a, b, c, d Means with the same row followed by the same letters do not differ significantly (P ≥ 0.05).
average 5.9 mmol l\(^{-1}\) and 33.1 µU ml\(^{-1}\), respectively. At 30 min the post-prandial glucose curve was significantly lower (P < 0.05) in the dogs fed wheat and corn diets in comparison with those fed the barley diet, which had the highest glucose concentration at 30 min. After 30 min, there was no difference in the post-prandial glycaemia curves among the diets, except between the oat groats and basal diets at 90 min (P < 0.05). The maximal post-prandial glucose concentrations were obtained at slightly different time points: at 30 min in the barley diet, at 60 min in the rice diet, at 90 min in the oat groats and wheat diets, and at 120 min in the corn and basal diets. These results agree with the results of Bednar et al. (2001), where starch of barely, rice and rolled oats where found to be highly and rapidly digestible in the canine small intestine. Differences between the maximal glucose concentrations of the diets were small. However, dogs fed the corn based basal diet had a rather flat post-prandial glucose curve and the maximal glucose concentration was lower (P < 0.05) than in dogs fed the oat groats diet. The greater fat and protein content of basal diet contributed to tendency for a reduction of postprandial increase in serum glucose as shown previously in dogs (Nguyen et al. 1994). After 120 min there was a trend toward a lower glucose concentration in all the diets.

At 30 min the post-prandial insulin curve was significantly lower (P < 0.01) in the wheat and corn diets in comparison with the basal, oat groats, barley and rice diets, which did not differ significantly from each other. At 60 min the insulin curve in the oat groats diet was still higher (P < 0.05) than in the wheat and corn diets. The maximal post-prandial insulin concentrations were obtained at 90 min in the rice diet, at 120 min in the oat groats, wheat, corn and barley diets, and at 240 min in the basal diet. There was no difference in the maximal insulin concentrations between the diets. After 120 min there was a trend toward lower insulin concentrations, except for the basal diet.

**Conclusions**

The dogs appeared to digest the cereals with quite a high efficiency. The apparent digestibility coefficients showed that low fibre cereals provide great amount of digestible nutrients and energy to dog diets. Known protein content and energy value of the cereals offer useful information for diet formulation. Any of the cereals tested can be used in dog diets without major negative effects on digestion. The use of rice in the
basal diet had mainly positive effects on faecal characteristics and nutrient digestibilities, except for crude protein. Oat groats were also found to have mainly positive effects on nutrient digestibilities. Since recent studies in humans have shown that oats are low gluten and suitable for diets of adults with celiac disease, the possibilities of using oats in hypoallergenic diets of gluten-sensitive dogs also need to be studied further.

Consumption of barley and oat groats diets resulted in higher faecal scores, indicating looser stools. This may, in part, be due to the high water-holding capacity of soluble fibres (\(\beta\)-glucans) found in oat groats and barley, as well as to the too high proportion of cereals (50%) in the diet of sports dogs. Sudden changes to large quantities of newly introduced carbohydrate in the diet may be inadequately digested, until enzyme concentrations increase to meet the changed digestive requirements. According to Lowe and Woodgate (1995) amylase supplements and fibre hydrolysing enzymes used during processing have improved the digestibility and palatability and reduced viscosity of the pet food. As for oats, however, it is also possible to use low \(\beta\)-glucan varieties of oats (Kolbu, Veli) as well as byproducts of the \(\beta\)-glucan industry like \(\beta\)-glucan-free oat feed meal in the nutrition of dogs. Further improvements in digestibility of cereal meals could be overtaken if subjected to optimal heat processing conditions (Moore et al. 1980), although the apparent digestibility of the main organic nutrients were above 74% in all the cereals in our study.

Proteins as well as carbohydrates in the diet of canine athletes should be highly digestible and quickly utilised by dogs. Feed value, i.e. digestible energy value and digestible crude protein content, were higher in oat groats than in the other cereals in the experiment. Oat groats are a suitable carbohydrate source especially for the nutrition of sports dogs, as it is highly digestible, its feed value is superior to other cereals and it increases the fat and energy contents of premium dog foods if used instead of rice or other cereals. Using dry food where oat groats is the main cereal component, may also promote continual recovery (in addition of post-exercise supplements) of protein and glycogen stores after exercise due to an enhanced glucose and insulin responses of oat groats diet.
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